

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/706,729	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BAUMANN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Matthew O. Savage	<b>Art Unit</b> 1724	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Matthew O. Savage.

(2) Frederick J. Dorchak.

**Date of Interview:** 22 April 2005

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  
**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**  
 Rejection(s) discussed:  
  
 Claims discussed:  
 26, 30, 31-35, 38, and 39  
  
 Prior art documents discussed:  
 DE 3,903,675, of record.

**Part II.**  
 SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

  

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Agreed to amend claim 26 to patently distinguish over the DE '675 reference. Agreed to amend claim 30 to specify the "spring elastic web" of the elected species shown in FIG. 5 in order to provide structure to enable the pin to be mounted in a radially flexible manner as recited in the claim. Agreed to cancel non-elected claims 31-35 of which were not considered to be readable upon the elected species shown in FIG. 5. The examiner agreed to allow non-elected claims 38 and 39 of which were considered to be generic to the elected species shown in FIG. 5. Agreed to change "integral" to --designed in one piece-- in order to correspond to the language used on lines 22-24 of page 15 of the specification. See the attached examiner's amendment and reasons for allowance for details.